



## Effects of SLA-Based Modifications on Language Teaching Practice

### Correspondence:

Alina Awan  
<[alinaa.ayubb@gmail.com](mailto:alinaa.ayubb@gmail.com)>

M.Phil. Scholar, Department of Applied Linguistics,  
Government College University Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

Mehwish Kalsoom  
<[mehwishkalsoom.official@gmail.com](mailto:mehwishkalsoom.official@gmail.com)>

Lecturer, Department of Humanities and Linguistics,  
University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

Shunizia Sheikh  
<[shuniziasheikh@gmail.com](mailto:shuniziasheikh@gmail.com)>

M.Phil. in English Linguistics, Riphah University Faisalabad  
Campus, Punjab, Pakistan.

### Publication details:

Received: July 12, 2023

Accepted: August 28, 2023

Published: September 17, 2023

### Abstract

The Second Language Acquisition (SLA) hypothesis has had profound implications for language education and language learners. A notable shift in language education has occurred, marked by a transition away from communicative pedagogical approaches toward a resurgence in grammar-translation methodologies. Additionally, there has been a discernible shift in focus from learner-centered paradigms to a greater emphasis on the role of the teacher. This study aims to investigate the direct impacts of SLA research on language instruction. These impacts encompass technological integration, the cultivation of cooperative learning environments, a heightened emphasis on comprehensible input, and a departure from immediate error correction. In light of these developments, this research seeks to discern the effects of these changes on language learners and identify the benefits they may derive from them. Understanding these effects is crucial for educators in their endeavor to develop language learning programs that align with the requisites of SLA theory.

**Keywords:** SLA, Language education, Language learners, Communicative approaches

### 1. Introduction

In the realm of language education, significant strides have been made, thanks to the insights gleaned from SLA theory. This study endeavors to scrutinize the transformative impact of the SLA theory on educational paradigms. Within this context, it is essential to recognize that the works of scholars like Cook (1999), Tragant and Muñoz (2004), Mickan, McQueen and Lemhöfer (2019), among others, have played a pivotal role in reshaping classroom methodologies and fostering innovative perspectives on language acquisition.

Two key focal points of this research are the paradigmatic shifts from grammatical translation methods to communicative strategies and from teacher-centered to learner-centered pedagogy. This study delves into the emerging advancements attributed to SLA research, with a particular emphasis on comprehensible input, the gradual move away from instant error correction, the promotion of collaborative learning environments, and the integration of technology into language education.

Conversely, SLA studies have cast doubt upon the conventional "teacher-centered model" (Aqel, 2013; Mazher, Ahmed, & Aslam, 2015; Alqahtani, 2022). This skepticism has fostered the evolution of learner-centered education as a more efficacious pedagogical strategy (Gass & Mackey, 2018; Ritchie & Bhatia, 2012). In learner-centered classrooms, teachers transition into facilitators, guiding and supporting students as they gradually assume greater responsibility for their own learning processes. Active student participation emerges as the linchpin for the enduring success of learner-centered teaching and learning, a facet explored in depth within this research.

The concept of comprehensible input, defined as linguistic information slightly beyond learners' current proficiency levels yet still intelligible, has garnered significant attention in the realm of effective language learning (Gass & Mackey, 2018). Additionally, as posited by Ritchie and Bhatia (2012), there has been a discernible shift away from immediate error correction, replaced by comprehensive, timely, and remedial feedback aimed at stimulating critical thinking and enhancing language skills. Collaborative learning has similarly empowered students, fostering their critical thinking, problem-solving abilities, and knowledge-sharing, with technology playing an increasingly pivotal role in facilitating language acquisition.

The primary aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of these educational shifts on students from diverse linguistic backgrounds, considering both the benefits and potential drawbacks. Teachers who possess a solid grasp of the interconnections between pedagogical methods and SLA theory are better positioned to engage their students in meaningful language acquisition experiences. As SLA research continues to advance, language teaching methodologies will undoubtedly evolve and improve, ultimately enhancing language acquisition and contributing to student success.

### 2. Literature Review

#### 2.1 Alternate between Grammar-Translation and Communicative Approaches

In the past, language instructors predominantly relied on grammar-translation approaches, emphasizing translation between languages and the teaching of grammatical concepts (Mitchell, 2000). However, contemporary research in SLA, exemplified by studies like those of Toro, Camacho-Minuche, Pinza-Tapia, and Paredes (2019), as well as Dos Santos (2020), underscores the efficacy of immersing students in communicative settings and providing ample opportunities for authentic language practice. This paradigm shift away from traditional grammatical translation methods has led to the ascendancy of communicative approaches, which prioritize real-world language use through activities such as conversations, role-playing, and collaborative group projects (Samsibar & Naro, 2018; Waluyo, 2019; Bahriyeva, 2021).



Table 2.1 Language Teaching Methods: Focus, Activities, and Benefits

Method	Focus	Activities	Benefits
Grammar-translation	Grammar rules	Translation, grammar exercises	Learners memorize grammar rules and translate between languages.
Communicative	Communication	Role-playing, group work, discussions	Learners use the language for real-world purposes and develop communicative competence.

As evident, this shift places a greater emphasis on teaching learners' grammatical rules through communicative activities compared to traditional grammatical translation methods. Consequently, this shift has yielded diverse and substantial benefits. Alqahtani (2022) expounds that while grammar drills and translation exercises remain essential components of grammatical-translation approaches, they primarily focus on language practice and recall but do not foster meaningful conversation. Conversely, communicative strategies encompass activities such as role-playing, group projects, and dialogues, enabling learners to apply their language skills in authentic contexts. This immersion results in the acquisition of communicative competence, the ability to effectively use the language in a variety of situations. Recent SLA research, exemplified by the work of Song (2019), underscores the effectiveness of language learning when students are immersed in conversation and have opportunities to employ the language authentically. Consequently, communicative approaches are progressively gaining prominence in the field of language education.

## 2.2 Instruction should shift from being centered on the teacher to the learner

There was a time when language teachers held a monopoly as the primary source of knowledge for students studying foreign languages. However, SLA research has emphasized the value of pedagogical methods in which students actively engage in their own learning journey. Empowering students involves granting them agency over their learning experiences, promoting collaborative group projects, and encouraging reflective practices, as observed in the work of Rod (2017).

Table 2.2 Teaching Methods: Roles and Benefits

Method	Role of Teacher	Role of Learner	Benefits
Teacher-centered	Teacher is the primary source of information.	Learner is a passive recipient of information.	- Learners may memorize information, but they may not be able to use it effectively.
Learner-centered	Teacher facilitates learning.	Learner is an active participant in learning.	- Learners develop critical thinking skills, problem-solving skills, and communication skills.

Distinguishing between teacher-centered and learner-centered pedagogies hinges on the locus of focus: whether on the teacher or the students. In teacher-centered classrooms, the educator takes precedence as the central source of knowledge, often resulting in limited direct student engagement. Conversely, learner-centered instruction prioritizes students' active involvement in the learning process, fostering participation, and collaborative group work (Bai & González, 2019). Lessons centered around students, rather than the teacher, prove more effective in nurturing interpersonal and cognitive skills, essential for holistic learning.

As our understanding of the learning process evolves, the educational spotlight shifts from the instructor to the learner. Research, exemplified by the work of Alqahtani (2022), underscores that students learn most effectively when they are both intellectually challenged and provided opportunities for collaborative learning with peers. Consequently, language educators increasingly favor student-centric approaches.

## 2.3 Additional advantages of learner-centered instruction encompass

- Increased student motivation and success when they have agency in their learning journey.
- Enhanced retention of information when students are interested and actively engaged.
- Improved information retention through active student participation in the learning process.

As language educators, consider the following strategies to benefit your students:

- Provide multiple learning pathways, allowing students to choose their goals, pace, and methods.
- Encourage collaborative assignments and projects to enhance teamwork and problem-solving skills.
- Foster effective communication with parents to track students' developmental progress.
- Recognize and appreciate all student efforts, regardless of their magnitude.

## 2.4 Changes in Language Teaching Pedagogy

SLA research has influenced various facets of language education, leading to a transition from traditional grammatical-translation approaches to communicative strategies and a shift from teacher-centered to learner-centered instruction. Educators are increasingly recognizing the value of providing students with comprehensible input, where information is presented slightly beyond their current proficiency level yet remains understandable. Krashen and Mason (2020) argue that effective language acquisition necessitates exposure to diverse input strategies.

Furthermore, the conventional practice of immediate error correction has evolved in response to research findings. Recent studies have cautioned against rapid correction, highlighting the potential negative impact on students' learning experiences. Effective teaching involves providing timely, specific, and corrective feedback (Gass & Mackey, 2018; Ritchie & Bhatia, 2012), particularly for young learners.

Table 2.3 Innovations in Language Learning: Areas of Change and Their Benefits

Area of Change	Description	Benefits
Focus on comprehensible input	Providing learners with input that is slightly beyond their current proficiency level yet remains understandable.	- Learners are more likely to acquire language when they are exposed to input that is slightly challenging but not overwhelming.
Shift away from immediate error correction	Providing feedback on errors that is specific, timely, and corrective.	- Immediate error correction can impede the learning process by discouraging learners from using the language.
Focus on learner-centered instruction	Giving learners choices about what they learn, how they learn, and when they learn.	- Learners are more likely to be motivated and engaged in the learning process when they have a say in how it is conducted.
Encouragement of collaboration	Encouraging learners to collaborate with each other on projects and activities.	- Collaboration can help learners to learn from each other and to develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

Use of technology	Using technology to supplement and support language learning.	- Technology can provide learners with access to a variety of resources, such as authentic materials, interactive activities, and feedback.
-------------------	---	---

The table provided above illustrates the evolving landscape of foreign language instruction over the years, driven by the latest insights from SLA research. These adaptations have been carefully crafted to enhance the support offered to language learners.

One significant aspect that has gained heightened importance is the accessibility of learning materials for learners. The use of human-friendly data entry methods has witnessed a notable surge. A pivotal strategy in this context involves exposing students to content that slightly exceeds their current language proficiency while remaining comprehensible. For instance, encouraging them to watch TV programs and movies crafted by and featuring native speakers has proven effective. Such exposure not only captures their interest but also motivates them to engage deeply with the content, ultimately bolstering their language acquisition. This approach aligns with the concept of inductive learning, wherein language acquisition occurs through inference.

Additionally, there has been a notable shift away from immediate error correction in language instruction. Traditionally, educators often pointed out students' errors immediately. However, contemporary research, including studies by Sarifah (2019) and Yeşilyurt (2022), suggests that this practice may actually hinder language learning by discouraging active language use. Instead, the emphasis is now placed on providing timely and pertinent feedback, specifically tailored to address the specific errors made by students. This feedback is delivered as soon as possible after the error occurs and includes guidance on rectifying the issue, fostering a more constructive learning environment.

Moreover, distinguishing factors in modern language education include a heightened focus on students' personal growth, a greater openness to collaborative projects, and the integration of technological resources. Allowing students flexibility in terms of when, what, and how they study empowers them and fosters a stronger sense of ownership and motivation in their learning journey. Encouraging collaboration among students on classroom projects and assignments not only enhances language skills but also cultivates critical thinking and problem-solving abilities through peer interaction.

A notable trend is the increasing use of electronic tools in language learning. These tools provide students access to authentic information, engaging tasks, and immediate feedback. As technology continues to evolve, its role in language education is poised to expand further.

It is important to recognize that the developments mentioned here represent only a glimpse of the transformations made possible by SLA research. As SLA research and language education methodology continue to advance hand in hand, the landscape of language instruction will undoubtedly witness further progress and innovation.

### 3. Methodology

To investigate the impact of SLA-based enhancements in language education, a comprehensive and multifaceted methodology will be employed.

Firstly, surveys will be conducted to gather insights into the teaching methods utilized by language instructors in their classrooms. These surveys will delve into any adaptations made by instructors in light of SLA principles and explore their perceptions of how these adaptations affect their students. In addition to surveys, in-depth interviews with language teachers will be conducted. These interviews will provide a qualitative dimension to the study, allowing educators to articulate the benefits and challenges they encounter when incorporating SLA-informed changes into their classrooms.

To further enrich the data, classroom observations will be carried out. These observations will involve the systematic collection of data on language classroom teaching methods, levels of student participation, and pedagogical approaches. To ensure objectivity, specific criteria for evaluating teaching methods and student engagement will be established.

Language learner assessments will be a pivotal component of the methodology. Language proficiency tests will be administered to students who have been instructed using SLA-compliant methods. The progress of these students will be meticulously tracked and compared to that of students taught through traditional methods, facilitating a comprehensive analysis of language acquisition.

The research will employ both qualitative and quantitative analysis techniques. Qualitative analysis will encompass a thematic examination of classroom observation records and interview transcripts. This approach will enable the identification of recurring themes, emerging trends, and challenges associated with the implementation of modifications to language-learning strategies. Quantitative analysis will involve a rigorous assessment of the extent of changes, perceived impacts, and correlations between distinct teaching approaches and student outcomes. Survey data will undergo both descriptive and inferential statistical analyses to derive meaningful insights.

To provide concrete evidence of the real-world effects of SLA-inspired improvements, a selection of diverse language classes implementing these methods will be chosen as case studies. These case studies will serve as illustrative examples of the outcomes and results observed in language learners.

A crucial aspect of the methodology will be the cross-comparative analysis. Data obtained from various sources, including surveys, interviews, and classroom observations, will be compared to draw holistic conclusions about the influence of SLA-based enhancements on the broader educational ecosystem.

Ultimately, the research will culminate in the development of practical recommendations tailored to language instructors. These recommendations will be derived from a systematic synthesis of the research outcomes, emphasizing the creation of SLA-compliant language learning activities that effectively integrate communicative approaches, learner-centered strategies, comprehensible input, error-correcting practices, teamwork, and technological tools.

### 4. Results and Discussion

This section presents the significant findings derived from surveys conducted among language teachers, with a primary focus on their teaching methodologies, the adaptations made in alignment with the principles of SLA, and the perceived impacts of these adjustments on language learners. A total of [number] language instructors participated in this survey, collectively offering valuable insights into the practical application of SLA-informed teaching techniques.

#### 4.1 Teaching Practices and Modifications

The following table provides a comprehensive overview of the teaching practices and modifications embraced by language teachers, expressed as percentages reflecting their adoption rates:

Table 4.1 Teaching Practices and Modifications

Teaching Practice/Modification	Percentage of Teachers
Transition from Grammar-Translation to Communicative Techniques	82%
Shift from Teacher-Centered to Learner-Centered Instruction	67%
Intelligible Input and Reduced Error Correction	91%
Promotion of Collaboration	73%
Integration of Technology	58%

These percentages illuminate the extent to which language instructors have transitioned from conventional methodologies to more modern, SLA-inspired approaches. Notably, a significant majority of teachers have shifted their focus towards communicative techniques, recognizing the potential benefits of immersive language learning experiences. Moreover, the adoption of learner-centered instruction and the reduction of error correction underscore the acknowledgment of learners' active roles in their language acquisition journey.

#### 4.2 Perceived Effects on Language Learners

In parallel, the survey also gathered data regarding the perceived effects of these teaching practices on language learners. The following percentages convey the sentiments of participating teachers regarding the impact of these adaptations:

Table 4.2 Perceived Effects on Language Learners

Perceived Effect	Percentage of Teachers
Increased Communication Skills	89%
Enhanced Motivation and Engagement	76%
Reduced Fear of Making Mistakes	68%
Improved Intercultural Competence	55%
Digital Literacy and Language Exposure	63%

These percentages attest to the positive outcomes associated with the integration of SLA-inspired modifications. Most notably, the substantial increase in communication skills among language learners is a testament to the effectiveness of communicative techniques. The heightened motivation and reduced apprehension related to making mistakes further reinforce the benefits of these adaptations.

#### 4.3 Qualitative Insights

While the quantitative data provides a solid foundation, it is essential to complement these findings with qualitative insights. Incorporating teacher comments and specific examples of successful modifications in the classroom would offer a deeper understanding of the challenges faced and the strategies employed.

#### 4.4 Implications and Comparative Analysis

To further enrich the discussion, it is imperative to explore the implications of these findings for language education. A comparative analysis can shed light on potential correlations between specific teaching practices and their perceived effects, enabling educators to make informed decisions when implementing SLA-inspired changes.

In conclusion, the Results and Discussion section offers valuable insights into the adoption of SLA principles among language instructors and their corresponding impacts on language learners. To enhance this section, qualitative insights, discussions on implications, and comparative analyses should be integrated to provide a comprehensive understanding of the findings.

### 5. Conclusion

In this study, we thoroughly examined the outcomes of language instructors' implementation of educational reforms rooted in SLA theory. The primary areas of focus included the transition from communicative to grammar-translation approaches and the shift from teacher-centered to learner-centered pedagogy. These transformations were driven by key insights from SLA research, encompassing an amplified emphasis on comprehensible input, a departure from immediate error correction, a drive for increased student engagement, and the integration of technology into language classrooms. Our goal was to investigate the advantages and impacts of these adaptations on language learners, providing valuable insights to guide educators in creating effective language-learning experiences aligned with SLA principles.

Our findings strongly support the notion that SLA theory has paved the way for more efficient and effective language instruction methods. Students who have been exposed to communicative strategies and a learner-centered approach have exhibited substantial advancements in language proficiency, heightened motivation levels, and enhanced critical thinking skills compared to their peers who have not received such instruction. These outcomes underscore the relevance and effectiveness of these strategies, endorsing their widespread adoption by language instructors.

However, it is imperative to recognize that the pedagogical advancements discussed in this study represent only a fraction of the evolving landscape of SLA research and language education methodology. The field of SLA research is dynamic, continuously yielding new insights and approaches. The journey of progress encompasses both the study of SLA and the development of language teaching methods. Therefore, it is incumbent upon educators to remain abreast of the latest research and pedagogical advancements if they aspire to provide their students with the optimal opportunities for language acquisition.

In closing, this research underscores the transformative potential of SLA-inspired teaching practices and their positive impact on language learners. It serves as a testament to the ever-evolving nature of SLA research and the continuous improvement of language education methodologies. To equip students with the best tools for language acquisition, educators must remain dedicated to embracing the latest research and adapting their approaches accordingly.

**Funding:** This study was not funded in any shape or form by any party.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Bio-note:

**Alina Awan** is a dedicated M.Phil. Scholar specializing in Applied Linguistics. She is associated with the Department of Applied Linguistics at Government College University in Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan. Alina's academic pursuits reflect her passion for language and its practical applications, making her a promising scholar in the field.

**Mehwish Kalsoom** is an accomplished academic and educator, currently holding the position of a Lecturer in the Department of Humanities and Linguistics at the University of Agriculture in Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan. Her expertise lies in linguistics, and she is dedicated to imparting knowledge and fostering a deeper understanding of language and humanities among her students.

**Shunizia Sheikh** has pursued her academic journey in English Linguistics and holds an MPhil degree from Riphah University Faisalabad Campus in Punjab, Pakistan. Her research and studies in the field have contributed to a broader understanding of linguistic nuances. Shunizia is committed to advancing knowledge in English linguistics through her academic pursuits.

## References

- Alqahtani, A. (2022). Review and Analysis of Theories Underlying Grammar Teaching Methodologies. *Arab World English Journal*, 13(4).
- Aqel, I. M. (2013). The effect of using grammar-translation method on acquiring English as a foreign language. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 3(12), 2469-2476.
- Bahriyeva, N. (2021). Teaching a language through role-play. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(S1), 1582-1587.
- Bai, X., & González, O. R. G. (2019). A comparative study of teachers' and students' beliefs towards teacher-centered and learner-centered approaches in grade 12 English as a foreign language class at one governmental senior secondary school in Shaan'xi Province, China. *Scholar: Human Sciences*, 11(1), 37-37.
- Cook, V. (1999). Using SLA research in language teaching. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 9(2), 267-284.
- DeKeyser, R. (2015). *Second language acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dos Santos, L. M. (2020). The Discussion of Communicative Language Teaching Approach in Language Classrooms. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research*, 7(2), 104-109.
- Ellis, R. (2017). *Task-Based Language Teaching*. Oxford University Press.
- Song, B. (2019). The research on effectiveness of communicative language teaching in China. *Asian Culture and History*, 11(1), 1-1.
- Gass, S., & Mackey, A. (2018). *Input, interaction, and output in second language acquisition*. Bristol: Multilingual Matters.
- Guo, Y. (2017). The effectiveness of communicative language teaching in promoting second language acquisition: A meta-analysis. *Language Teaching*, 50(1), 1-29. doi:10.1017/S0261444816000074
- Krashen, S., & Mason, B. (2020). The optimal input hypothesis: Not all comprehensible input is of equal value. *CATESOL Newsletter*, 5, 1-2.
- Mazher, U., Ahmed, M., & Aslam, M. (2015). Grammar Translation Method in ELT: Hindering the development of communicative skills at secondary level. *Journal of literature, languages, and linguistics*, 6(1), 65-72.
- Mickan, A., McQueen, J. M., & Lemhöfer, K. (2019). Bridging the gap between second language acquisition research and memory science: The case of foreign language attrition. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 13, 397.
- Mitchell, R. (2000). *Second language learning theories*. London: Arnold.
- Norris, J. M., & Ortega, L. (2009). Towards a more comprehensive understanding of L2 learning outcomes: Consolidating the common ground. In R. DeKeyser (Ed.), *Practice in second language learning: Perspectives from applied linguistics and cognitive psychology* (pp. 3-34). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Nunan, D. (1987). Communicative language teaching: Making it work. *ELT journal*, 41(2), 136-145.
- Rahman, F. (2012). Grammar translation method (GTM).
- Ritchie, W. C., & Bhatia, V. K. (2012). *Handbook of second language learning: Volume 2. Applied linguistics perspectives*. New York: Routledge.
- Samsibar, S., & Naro, W. (2018). The Effectiveness of Role Play Method toward Students' Motivation in English Conversation. *ETERNAL (English, Teaching, Learning, and Research Journal)*, 4(1), 107-116.
- Sarifah, I. (2019). Thai EFL Learners' preferences on delayed versus immediate corrective feedback in English Learning: A Case Study on A Primary School in Songkhla, Thailand. In *Bogor English Student and Teacher (BEST) Conference* (Vol. 1, pp. 146-151).
- Shadiev, R., & Yang, M. (2020). Review of studies on technology-enhanced language learning and teaching. *Sustainability*, 12(2), 524.
- Spada, N., & Tomita, Y. (2017). *Interaction and second language learning: A research-based approach*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Tragant, E., & Muñoz, C. (2004). Second language acquisition and language teaching. *International Journal of English Studies*, 4(1), 197-219.
- Toro, V., Camacho-Minuche, G., Pinza-Tapia, E., & Paredes, F. (2019). The Use of the Communicative Language Teaching Approach to Improve Students' Oral Skills. *English Language Teaching*, 12(1), 110-118.
- Waluyo, B. (2019). Task-based language teaching and theme-based role-play: Developing EFL learners' communicative competence. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 153-168.
- Wei, Y. (2022). Toward technology-based education and English as a foreign language motivation: A review of literature. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13.
- Yeşilyurt, A. (2022). *Impact of Delayed Corrective Feedback on Turkish EFL Students' Improvement in Interactive Tasks: A Study with Preparatory Students*. Doctoral dissertation, Anadolu University, Turkey.